

[entries](#) [friends](#) [calendar](#) [user info](#) [cetan.com](#) [Previous](#) [Next](#)

cetan - Ignorance at its finest

10:32 pm **November 20th, 2003**



[cetan](#)



Ignorance at its finest

*Chuck Dowling (Tampa, Florida), nov 20, 2003; 05:23 p.m.
Having driven across the US many times, I can say that there would be little to see. Sounds like a waste of film.*

http://www.photo.net/bboard/q-and-a-fetch-msg?msg_id=006ZeS

I don't deny the fact that there may be a questionable "benefit" to photographing the width of the US along a single route from a moving car at one mile increments.

However, to claim that "there is little to see" is probably the most ignorant statement I've ever seen.

6 humors | [humor me](#)

Comments

Thread started by *Anonymous*

From: *(Anonymous)* **Date:** August 23rd, 2004 - 07:58 pm



[\(Link\)](#)

(IP Address: 206.231.19.4)

Select:

Had you posted the whole thread, which is now expired, it would have been clear that there was a suggestion to randomly snap photos at timed intervals while driving a main route cross-country. I stand by my statement that the photos would be boring / uninteresting. Having driven the main cross-country routes many times, there is little to see except gas stations, truck stops, etc. The thread wasn't about stopping in towns or at landmarks, etc. Perhaps you are ignorant for not carefully reading the entire post.

(Reply to this) ([Thread](#))



From: [cetan](#) **Date:** August 23rd, 2004 - 08:23 pm



[\(Link\)](#)

(IP Address: 69.41.234.58)

Select:

A little Google Happy are we Chuck?

It doesn't matter how much of the thread I posted. Your statement can stand alone on its own as one of ignorance.

The fact that you believe you alone can decide for me what is and is not interesting photographic materials is why I posted your amazingly stupid statement.

(Reply to this) ([Parent](#)) ([Thread](#))

From: *(Anonymous)* **Date:** August 24th, 2004 - 03:02 am



[\(Link\)](#)

(IP Address: 206.231.19.4)

Select:

I wasn't deciding anything for you, I was replying to a question someone posted on photo.net about taking timed interval snapshots out of a car window whilst driving cross-country. This has nothing to with photographing something interesting, the shots were to be RANDOM. It is wrong of you to post a statement I made out of context.

(Reply to this) ([Parent](#)) ([Thread](#))



From: [cetan](#) **Date:** August 24th, 2004 - 03:39 am



[\(Link\)](#)

(IP Address: 69.41.234.58)

Select:

profile



User: [cetan](#)

Name: cetan

Website: [cetan.com](#)

calendar

August 2004

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

links

[Update](#)

[Edit entries](#)

[Livejournal](#)

[Feeds](#)

[Steve](#)

[Twench \(Ed\)](#)

[Stacey](#)




My journal, my rules Chuck.

This has nothing to with photographing something interesting, the shots were to be RANDOM.

This is you trying to decide if shots taken at random have value for others, which you sum up very clearly in this statement: "Sounds like a waste of film"

I'm sorry you've got a problem with this project Chuck. I'm sure everyone is going to try doubly hard to make sure they fulfill your requirements for "real" photography with their next project.

([Reply to this](#)) ([Parent](#)) ([Thread](#))

From: (Anonymous) **Date:** August 24th, 2004 - 05:23 am    ([Link](#))

(IP Address: 68.202.87.217)

Select:

I have tried to be civil with you. You are in violation of copyright law. This is an excerpt from the terms of use policy at www.photo.net:

Prohibited Usage of the Site




The content and materials available through the Site are the property of photo.net or its licensors (the users), and most of the materials are protected by copyright, trademark and other intellectual property laws. Furthermore, photo.net has expended its resources to gather these materials and to publish them or to make them available to its users. You agree not to reproduce or distribute, or cause to be reproduced or distributed, any material that you retrieved from the Site, without the express prior permission of both photo.net and, in the case of copyrighted materials, the copyright owner, except for such reproduction as occurs in the normal course of reading or viewing the materials using a Web browser.

REMOVE THIS THREAD IMMEDIATELY, OR YOU WILL BE SUBJECT TO LEGAL PROCESSES OR OTHER REMEDIES WHICH WILL INSURE YOUR COMPLIANCE.

I have already filed a complaint against you with livejournal.com. I am a working journalist, and your posting of defamatory comments about me using my name is against the law, and detrimental to my business. I have asked you nicely here to remove my statements and references to my name from this (livejournal) website.

([Reply to this](#)) ([Parent](#)) ([Thread](#))



From:  cetan **Date:** August 24th, 2004 - 08:33 pm   ([Link](#))

(IP Address: 69.41.234.58)

Select:

You have never once asked me to remove your comments.

I'm not in violation of copyright law.

I believe your opinions are wrong and it is my right under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America to say so.

Any further posts by you to this journal will be deleted.

([Reply to this](#)) ([Parent](#))

6 humors | [humor me](#)

Mass Action

Mass action on selected comments: 